

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,723	06/26/2003	Andrew R. Marks	0575/61134-B/JPW/AJM/AJD	6915
7590 07/15/2004			EXAMINER	
John P. White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			LI, RUIXIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,723	MARKS, ANDREW R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruixiang Li	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	Oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Application in the interest of the intere	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1646

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 13-18, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with atrial tachyarrhythmia comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of an agent which inhibits protein kinase A phosphorylation of an RyR2 receptor in the subject's heart, classification depends upon the structure of the compound.
 - II. Claims 7, 8, 19, and 20, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with atrial tachyarrhythmia comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of an agent which mimics binding of a FKBP12.6 binding protein to an RyR2 receptor of the subject's heart, classification depends upon the structure of the compound.
 - III. Claims 9-11 and 21-23, drawn to an article of manufacture comprising an agent which inhibits protein kinase A phosphorylation of an RyR2, classification depends upon the structure of the compound.
 - IV. Claims 12 and 24, drawn to an article of manufacture comprising an agent which mimics binding of a FKBP12.6 binding protein to an RyR2, classification depends upon the structure of the compound.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation,

Art Unit: 1646

different functions, or different effects (MPEP §806.04, MPEP §808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to completely different methods each using different compositions. Invention I requires administering an agent which inhibits protein kinase A phosphorylation of an RyR2 receptor or dissociation of a FKBP12.6 binding protein from an RyR2 receptor in the subject's heart; whereas Invention II requires administering an agent which mimics binding of a FKBP12.6 binding protein to an RyR2 receptor of the subject's heart. Each method is unique and not required by the other. Thus, the two methods are exclusive.

- 3. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §806.04, MPEP §808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to completely different products, an article of manufacture comprising an agent which inhibits protein kinase A phosphorylation of an RyR2 or dissociation of a FKBP12.6 binding protein from an RyR2 receptor and an article of manufacture comprising an agent which mimics binding of a FKBP12.6 binding protein to an RyR2. The two products are not interchangeable and require non-cohesive searches and considerations.
- 4. Inventions III and IV are either related to Inventions I and II as product and process of use or are drawn to unrelated, distinct product and method inventions. When the inventions are related, the invention can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05 (h)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/608,723

Art Unit: 1646

case, an agent which inhibits protein kinase A phosphorylation of an RyR2 or dissociation of a FKBP12.6 binding protein from an RyR2 receptor, or mimics binding of a FKBP12.6 binding protein to an RyR2 may be used in a materially different process such as study of signaling mechanism the RyR2 receptor.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for a single group is not required for any other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Art Unit: 1646

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (l).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,723

Art Unit: 1646

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those

Page 6

under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the

applicant and should be addressed to [Brenda.Brumback@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-

mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do

not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive

information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is

more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official

Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D.

Ruisiang L.

Examiner

July 13, 2004